



**DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY**  
**NAVAL INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT CENTER**  
4301 Suitland Road  
Washington, D.C. 20390

**CONFIDENTIAL**

IN REPLY REFER TO

Ser NISC-OOAE/C96

CONFIDENTIAL

MEMORANDUM

From: Navy Member, Scientific & Technical Intelligence Committee  
To: Chairman, Scientific & Technical Intelligence Committee

Subj: Draft Report PBW of 6 Jul 1976; comments on

1. (C) The draft (dated 6 July 1976) of the PBW report has been reviewed with the conclusion that the report should not be disseminated without extensive revision. The two chief problems, in the view of the Navy STIC member, are the general attitude (often negative) that flavors the report and the generous discussions of U.S. experience or technology permeating the report. It is especially awkward to address the question of possible existence of a foreign program based heavily on U.S. experience or lack of experience when the U.S. admittedly has no counterpart development program. If it is deemed necessary or desirable to dwell on U.S. technology and beliefs, a suitable appendix could be constructed for the reader's benefit. Separating the relevant U.S. results into an appendix overcomes one objection in the present draft and would increase the emphasis on the main objectives of the report.

2. (U) The Navy member non-concurs with the draft report in its present form.

*L. J. Edwards*  
L. J. EDWARDS

NAVY Review Completed

25 YEAR RE-REVIEW

CLASSIFIED BY: OPNAVINST 5510.149  
SUBJECT TO GENERAL DECLASSIFICATION  
SCHEDULE OF E.O. 11652  
AUTOMATICALLY DOWNGRADED AT TWO-YEAR INTERVALS  
DECLASSIFY ON 31 DEC 1982

**CONFIDENTIAL**

CONFIDENTIAL